

# **Exhibit B**

**(EXCERPTED)**

1 E341soka  
1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  
2 -----x  
2  
3 MARK I. SOKOLOW, et al.,  
3  
4 Plaintiffs,  
4  
5 v. 04-CV-397 (GBD)  
5  
6 PALESTINE LIBERATION  
6 ORGANIZATION, et al.,  
7  
7 Defendants. Oral Argument  
8 -----x  
9 New York, N.Y.  
9 March 4, 2014  
10 10:58 a.m.  
10  
11 Before:  
11  
12 HON. GEORGE B. DANIELS,  
12 District Judge  
13  
14 APPEARANCES  
14  
15 ARNOLD & PORTER, LLP  
15 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
16 BY: KENT A. YALOWITZ, ESQ.  
16 PHILIP W. HORTON, ESQ.  
17 CARMELA T. ROMEO, ESQ.  
17 TAL R. MACHNES, ESQ.  
18 KEN L. HASHIMOTO, ESQ.  
19 ROBERT J. TOLCHIN, ESQ.  
19 Attorney for Plaintiffs  
20  
20 MILLER & CHEVALIER, CHTD.  
21 Attorneys for Defendants  
21 BY: MARK JOHN ROCHON, ESQ.  
22 LAURA G. FERGUSON, ESQ.  
22 BRIAN A. HILL, ESQ.  
23  
24  
25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300

1 E341soka  
2 confidentiality order or stipulation with regard to the use of  
3 documents?

4 MR. YALOWITZ: The only thing, there are -- the Second  
5 Circuit has said that there are certain kinds of documents that  
6 are highly private. And we have a few of those documents here,  
7 your Honor. For example, photographs of gruesome injuries of  
8 some of the plaintiffs that they just are embarrassed and don't  
9 wish to be seen.

10 THE COURT: Well, that's a different issue. That's a  
11 different ground, if they move to either exclude or to somehow  
12 limit that evidence because of its prejudicial nature or some  
13 other ground, but the bottom line is that this is really not  
14 your application at this point. Your application is that all  
15 documents produced before the jury should be public.

16 MR. YALOWITZ: Correct, and all documents supporting  
17 or opposing summary judgment, and this issue was -- this issue  
18 first arose because we had a sentence in our description of the  
19 claim, in the joint pretrial order, that referenced certain  
records of the defendant.

20 THE COURT: I understand that, but at this point  
21 nothing has been filed.

22 MR. YALOWITZ: Correct.

23 THE COURT: The pretrial order has not been filed.

24 MR. YALOWITZ: Correct. We've submitted it --

25 THE COURT: The only ruling I'm going to make at this  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

E341soka

1 point is that you should discuss it. To the extent you have,  
2 to the extent the defendants have a position that certain  
3 documents or information should not be publicly filed, then it  
4 should not be publicly filed until you get a ruling from the  
5 court, and I'll hear whatever grounds they have, because the  
6 presumption is that all documents in this case will be publicly  
7 filed unless there is a reason to not do that that's  
8 articulated and a motion is made to not do that. That is the  
9 presumption. So it is their motion.

10 I am going to also suggest that any filings at this  
11 point should be exchanged between the parties before they are  
12 filed and each party will have 48 hours to look at those  
13 documents and indicate that they have an objection to filing  
14 the portions of that document unredacted. Then the documents  
15 should be sent to the court. If there's no objection, the  
16 documents would be filed. To the extent there's an objection,  
17 the document should be filed either redacted or not filed at  
18 all until a ruling from the court. So you can submit them to  
19 the court but we'll deal with the issue of filing.

20 MR. YALOWITZ: Okay. That's a great procedure, your  
21 Honor. That's essentially the procedure that we used with the  
22 joint pretrial order, and so the joint pretrial order, which  
23 chambers has but which has not been put up on ECF, is ready for  
24 a ruling by the court on this issue. So I think both sides  
25 have discussed it, both sides have made their arguments in

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

E341soka

1 letters to the court, which have also been submitted to  
2 chambers but have not yet been put up on ECF, and so frankly --  
3 THE COURT: I have a continuing procedure you should  
4 use, I'll look at it right away and make a ruling right away.  
5 If I think I need to hear more specifically from you as I  
6 review it more closely, then I'll hear from you on April 8th  
7 and then we'll decide whether or not things should be put out.  
8 But I think that, you know, I need a compelling legal argument  
9 from the defense, if that's only a defense issue, why documents  
10 in this litigation should not be public documents, and it's got  
11 to be more than just, "It may make my clients look bad."  
12 Obviously it's got to be some other argument, and if there's  
13 another argument to be made, then I will hear it, and if it's  
14 appropriate to do so, I will do so, but as I say, the parties,  
15 even when they agree, they want to file things under seal. I  
16 say that's not your choice. This is a public courthouse that  
17 resolves public issues in public, not behind closed doors. And  
18 so therefore, the litigation presumption is going to be that  
19 unless you articulate the particular reason why certain things  
20 should not be made public, documents are made public in the  
21 course of litigation, and particularly there's a significant  
22 hurdle to overcome if those documents are already somehow in  
23 the public domain. So if the documents are already in the  
24 hands of the public, I don't think it's a compelling reason  
25 that you don't want it to be public here, so it's got to be

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

1 E341soka

2 some private document that has not been publicly disclosed that  
3 there is a compelling legal reason to not have that evidence  
4 available in an open proceeding. That's basically it.

5 MR. HILL: Your Honor, I'm happy to address that in  
6 our meeting in April on the 4th. Some of it is intelligence  
7 material, which is the basis for the concern.

8 THE COURT: Then the only other thing is there are  
9 still some objections that were made that are still outstanding  
10 on Judge Ellis' ruling. Other than the one about the BBC and  
11 my discussions with Magistrate Judge Ellis and my review of the  
12 papers, I don't have a recollection in my mind that I was  
13 particularly compelled to overrule him based on error of law or  
14 facts or an abuse of discretion with regard to those issues,  
15 but I will look at them one more time.

16 As I say, the BBC issue, I didn't feel that BBC should  
17 be forced to give up the outtakes for the reasons that you  
18 articulate. But with regard to further discovery and those  
19 kinds of issues, I'll look at them one more time, but it's  
20 likely that I'm going to go ahead and sustain United States  
21 Magistrate Judge Ellis on all the motions on this issue.

22 MR. YALOWITZ: So if I may be heard on -- I think  
23 there are really two issues there with regard to Judge Ellis'  
24 decisions that we've objected to. The first is one we've  
25 discussed, which is the defendants' own document production,  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300